



SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD OF EDUCATION

Agenda Item# 8.1g

Meeting Date: August 21, 2014

Subject: Approve July 17, 2014 Board of Education Meeting Minutes

- Information Item Only
- Approval on Consent Agenda
- Conference (for discussion only)
- Conference/First Reading (Action Anticipated: _____)
- Conference/Action
- Action
- Public Hearing

Division: Superintendent's Office

Recommendation: Approve Minutes of the Board of Education Meeting for July 17, 2014.

Background/Rationale: None

Financial Considerations: None

Documents Attached:

1.

**Sacramento City Unified School District
BOARD OF EDUCATION
MEETING AND WORKSHOP**

Board of Education Members
Patrick Kennedy, President

negotiations, and real property matters.

3.1 *Government Code 54956.9 - Conference with Legal Counsel - Anticipated Litigation:*

a) *Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to subdivision (b) of Government Code section 54956.9 (1 case)*

b) *Initiation of litigation pursuant to subdivision (c) of Government Code section 54956.9 (1 case)*

3.2 *Government Code 54957.6 (a) and (b) Negotiations/Collective Bargaining CSA, SCTA, SEIU, Te*

Public Comment

- 9.1a - Terrence Gladney had concerns regarding District contracts with companies outside of Sacramento and would rather see local companies utilized. He spoke specifically regarding AMS.net providing CISCO support services, a company based in the Bay Area.
- 9.1b - Terrence Gladney wanted to publicly thank retiring teacher Judy Wong as she was his son's kindergarten teacher. He saw her make a positive influence in his son's and other students' educations.
- 9.1d – Mac Worthy had concerns regarding the length of contract for the new Superintendent and also District fiscal responsibility.

Board Member Comments

- President Kennedy provided a brief explanation as to why the Superintendent's contract is now made available to the public and not prior. The contract was negotiated in conformity to the Brown Act by a negotiating committee made up of President Kennedy, Second Vice President Prichett, and Member Rodriguez. The committee met among themselves and later with Mr. Banda. The contract was then reviewed in closed session. The contract now available to the public is a result of those negotiations.

President Kennedy asked for a motion to approve the Consent Agenda. A motion was made by Vice President Woo and seconded by Second Vice President Prichett. The Board voted unanimously to approve the Consent Agenda.

10.0 BOARD WORKSHOP/STRATEGIC PLAN AND OTHER INITIATIVES

10.1 Facility Reuse and Repurpose Criteria Update (Cathy Allen and Mary Hardin-Young)

Information

Assistant Superintendents Cathy Allen and Mary Hardin-Young gave an update on Facility Reuse and Repurpose Criteria. This included fee structure for facility repurpose and reuse. Background information was given regarding the fact that the Board appointed the 7-11 committee, which met for six months, and recommended that the seven sites reviewed not be surplus. Staff developed a proposal to lease sites which was presented on June 19, 2014. The Board at that time requested options for fees, possibly using Civic Center categories. Research was then conducted, including tours of sites to interested groups. The four categories of the Civic Center tiered payment structures were presented, as well as changes to the Education Code in 2012 that allow an increase in all categories due to refurbishment costs. Ms. Allen stressed that the Civic Center fees are based on a per use rate and would not transfer to long-term use as this would result in rates prohibitively high. Other districts that have leased closed sites were contacted, and it was found that they did not have very much success. Most uses are for additional programs, department utilization, as interim sites while regular sites are being repaired, or for charter schools. The next steps planned after receiving Board input is to receive and evaluate proposals and then provide options to the Board for review.

Public Comment

- Judy Smith of Tao Holistics first thanked Jim Dobson for opening Fruit Ridge on a very hot day and then went on to also thank the Board for considering the proposed use of Fruit Ridge. She mentioned several outside groups interested in available sites and also

stated that she would like to see a District presence such as independent study. She reported that she has received inquiries from all over the United States.

Board Member Comments

- *Member Pritchett had a question regarding the fee structures. Cathy Allen responded.*
- *Vice President Woo asked that the discounted rate not exceed the fair market value of each school's location.*
- *Member Rodriguez asked if the Board would receive a full list of criteria on which proposals would be determined before proposals are received. Cathy Allen responded. Member Rodriguez thought Ms. Allen had provided additional information at the June 19, 2014 Board meeting. Interim Superintendent Noguchi stated that the notes from that meeting will be reviewed to see if there is anything that needs to be added. Member Rodriguez requested that in the future her relevant comments be reviewed on the District website from prior meetings. She would like to see this come back with review of the comments she had made, especially regarding fee structure. She agrees with Member Woo regarding the fair market rate.*
- *Member Arroyo commented that he found the proposed fee categories to be a good starting point and asked if there would be a more specific breakdown. Cathy Allen responded that would happen once they receive the proposals. Member Arroyo expressed concern about consistent criteria being applied and identifying community benefits. He would like to come up with a system that eliminates confusion regarding the category that a specific group would fall into.*
- *Member Cuneo asked if every request would be brought to the Board regardless of staff's opinion of that request. Cathy Allen responded yes.*
-

property, and have experience in the rehabilitation of historic sites.

- Taylor Hudson of a hotel and food service workers' union, Unite Here, Local 49, wanted to remind the Board, (as one of the proposals made is a hotel), that labor disputes can possibly have an effect on revenue that the Board may expect to receive.
- Meea Kang, president of an affordable housing developer in Sacramento, spoke regarding a proposal regarding the 16th and N site that was provided to the Board earlier in the year. She also spoke of possible community benefits regarding the site.
- Andrea Leisy, attorney with Remy Moosemanly wanted to reiterate what Meea Kang said and also spoke on requirements of Districts regarding surplus property, especially with regard to priority that must be given to affordable housing. She also mentioned that information had been sent to the Board previously in two letters.
- Deana Ellis of Cresleigh Homes spoke on behalf of submission made to the District and expressed the opinion that the District should make decisions that are in the best fiscal interest of the District. She also stated that they would like the opportunity to revise their proposals.

Board Member Comments

- Vice President Pritchett thanked the presenters of the workshop; she requested and asked if a list of surplus properties could be provided. Ms. Allen replied that at present there are actually no surplus properties. Vice President Pritchett made a formal request to be provided with a list of all empty District properties.
- Member Cuneo expressed concern with communication and transparency, and stated that he is disheartened with the process. He would like a discussion on how the students benefit by choosing any particular option regarding property.
- Member Rodriguez noted that although there are currently too many properties due to lower enrollment, Boards from other districts she has contacted that had been in this same situation reported that they sold easily only to find later that bonds had to be obtained to purchase property. She therefore wants to look closely at demographics as well as the legal versus financial aspect. She also asked if we are able to consider financial implications to the District when a charter school is interested in a site. Counsel Gauthier replied that he will need to consider and research the question, but that just looking at the Education Code, no. Member Rodriguez also felt that material contained in the first four bullets of page 3 of the presentation should be discussed as a whole by the Board with the Superintendent, and also be brought onto the floor as a workshop. President Kennedy replied that he feels that this workshop is the first step into that process. Member Rodriguez then asked Bay Miry of D and S Development to clarify if his interest was in working with the District to rehabilitate the property or to purchase the property and then work with the District. President Kennedy noted that as there are other proposers in attendance, the response should not be too detailed. Mr. Miry's response to Member Rodriguez's question was that their interest is not to purchase the property but rather do a ground lease. Member Rodriguez then asked Ms. Allen how difficult it will be to gather census data. Ms. Allen replied that gathering the data will be easy, however another consideration to be made is the cost to return a property to field act approved so that it can be occupied by students and staff. Ms Allen also stated that collecting demographic data on the entire District rather than just for the sites in question would be more difficult. Member Rodriguez requested that when the list of surplus properties is provided that each be identified as to field act compliant or not.
- Member Hansen asked if we had yet surplused any property and what would be the process to do so. Ms. Allen answered that we have not.
2019(04)113(1)-2(0)10(w)14(h)11(B)COM2(us)-1(s)-1(e)4(d)

Committee an action item.

- *President Kennedy also thanked the committee for their work. He then reminded the Board that the use of bond money is ultimately decided by the Board.*

10.4 Approve 2014-2015 District Budget Update and Revision – State Budget Approval (Ken A. Forrest)

Action

Gerardo Castillo gave the presentation in Ken A. Forrest's absence. Changes in the Governor's budget versus the May Revision were presented as well as the impact of the New Joseph Bonnheim Charter School on the budget.

Public Comment

- *Mac Worthy made various general comments on the District budget.*
- *Darlene Anderson commented and had questions on Accelerated Academy.*
- *Carl Pinkston of The Black Parallel School Board said thank you for including Restorative Justice as part of the budget. He also would like to see future budgets include a more participatory process.*

Board Member Comments

- *Member Rodriguez had a question on page 12 which Mr. Castillo answered. She also commented that the slides had very good information and encouraged the rest of the Board to go over them. She pointed out specifically slide 21. She noted that she read information from a coalition of community groups that are doing a budget watch on the LCAP, and she offered to conference call with them as she can provide insight on some questions asked. She also noted that the focus should be on next year's budget.*
- *Member Cuneo commented that he also was happy that Restorative Justice was included in the budget.*

President Kennedy asked for a motion to approve. Vice President Woo made a motion to approve the budget and Member Rodriguez seconded. The Board voted unanimously to approve.

11.0 BUSINESS AND FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Board Member Comments

- *Member Hansen made correction to an earlier public comment regarding low SAT scores. He also commented to Mr. Gladney that he would like to look into local source contract opportunities.*

11.2 *Head Start/Early Head Start Reports*

Public Comment

None

Board Member Comments

None

14.0 ADJOURNMENT

Student Member Asami Saito made a motion to adjourn the meeting; the motion was seconded by Vice President Pritchett. The motion was passed unanimously, and the meeting was adjourned at 9:26 p.m.

José L. Banda

Superintendent and Board Secretary

NOTE: The Sacramento City Unified School District encourages those with disabilities to participate fully in the public meeting process. If you need a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the public meeting, please contact the Board of Education Office at (916) 643-9314 at least 48 hours before the scheduled Board of Education meeting so that we may make every reasonable effort to accommodate you. [Government Code § 54953.2; Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, § 202 (42 U.S.C. §12132)] Any public records distributed to the Board of Education less than 72 hours in advance of the meeting and relating to an open session item are available for public inspection at 5735 47th Avenue at the Front Desk Counter and on the District's website at www.scusd.edu