SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT **BOARD OF EDUCATION**

Agenda Item 10.1h

Meeting Date: March 7, 2019
<u>Subject</u> : Approve Minutes of the February 21, 2019 Board of Education Meeting
 □ Information Item Only □ Approval on Consent Agenda □ Conference (for discussion only) □ Conference/First Reading (Action Anticipated:) □ Conference/Action □ Action □ Public Hearing
<u>Division</u> : Superintendent's Office
Recommendation: Approve Minutes of the February 21, 2019 Board of Education Meeting.
Background/Rationale: None
Financial Considerations: None
LCAP Goal(s): Family and Community Empowerment



Sacramento City Unified School District BOAR Desticated, President Area P Darrel Woo Vice President, (Trustee Are) Michael Minnick, 2_{Thursday, February 21, 2019}

4:30 p.m. Closed Session 6:00 p.m. Open Session

nd Vice President, (Trustee Are) 4

Lisa Murawski (Trustee Area)1 Leticia Garcia (Trustee Area) Christina Pritchett, (Trustee Area) 3 Mai Vang, (Trustee Area)5 Rachel Halbo Student Member

Serna Center

Community Conference Rooms 5735 47th Avenue Sacramento, CA 95824

Minutes 2018/19-19

Allotted Time

1.0 OPEN SESSION / CALL TO ORDER / ROLL CALL

Meeting was called to order at 4:34 p.m.

Meeting was called to ord Present President Ryan Vice President Woo 2nd Vice PresidenMinnick Member Murawski Member Garcia Member Pritchett Member Vang

No Members absent.

2.0

- 3.3 Government Code 54957 Public Employee Discipline/Dismissal/Release/Reassignment
- 3.4 Government Code 54957 Public Employee Performance Evaluation: Title: Superintendent
- 3.5 Education Code Section 35146 The Board will hear staff recommendations on the following student expulsion(s):
 - a) Expulsion #8, 2018-19

4.0 CALL BACK TO ORDER/PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

4.1

- 3. Angel Garcia
- 4. AngieSutherland
- 5. Naomi Roberts –Statement read by Kenya Martinez
- 6. Kenya Martinez
- 7. Loreen Pryor
- 8. Allegra Taylor

9.0 BOARD WORKSHOP/STRATEGIC PLAN AND OTHER INITIATIVES

9.1 Approve City of Sacramento Police Department School Resource Officer (SRO) Conference/Action Supplemental One-Year Extension from August 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019 (Lisa Allen and Raoul Bozio)

This item was presented by Lisa Allen, Deputy Superintendent and Raoul Bozio, In House Counsel for approval.

Public Comment:

Matthew Bridges **Brandon Pineda** Cha Vang Angie Sutherland Henry Ortiz Lamaia Coleman Celia Contreras Natalia Rivas Cecile Nunley **Edith Williams** Oscar Velez Brianna Bell Stephanie Lopez Jada Mclear Brenda Cruz Carlos Molina III Raymond Garcia Yashar Yisrael Jose Verdin Carly Brannin Tere Flores Alma Lopez Jacob Garcia Silvia Nolasco Jason Weiner Miguel Dominguez Francesca Lopez Jessica Valerio Liz Guillen Lupita Pinada Carl Pinkston **Angel Garcia**

Board Comment:

Member Pritchett lets talk a little bit about what our School Resource Officer (SRO) contract gets us. Mrs. Allen, you said eight SROs total, correct? And of those eight SROs they give services to six of our schools ar we have two floaters, correct? LiAtlen replies, that's correct. Knows from experience of seeing SROs, their hours are usually all day at school then they return for special events on late evenings and weekends. Refers original contract regarding thind services. Asks Lisa Allen to indicate what some of those are. Lisa Allen responds some of the kind services offered are programs within our schools, our high schools, some of our police academies, they volunteer time. they also work very well with children that they mentor, after school programs, coaches, during holiday breaks they do things for families. Depends on the SRO. A lot of the work they do is unsung at times. Member Pritchett continues, there was a lot of comments about studies some of things found were the prevention rorinimizing property damage at school sites and surroundings; prevention of student injurieend even death due to violence, drug overdoses. The reduction of the need for schools to call 911, reduction of the likelihood that a student would get in atrinecord. This is why feels we need SROsn campus and not patrol cobst's think about it. An emergency happens on campus, staff calls 911. The average time, at least in Area 3, 150 Tminutes. Just take a moment and imagine what can happen in 7-10

critical to public trust. Tonight the Board will have to make some tough choices thatswilt in some social consequences for our students and families and staff. Truly betitivesting \$1.5 of our general fund dollar is absolutely counterproductive the ground work and the heart work our students, staff and even Superintendent Aguiltanave done in this district to really address student achievement for our English Language Learners, our black and brown students and our students with special needs. If our goal is really to increase school safety, then we really need to reconsider some of the alternatives that our community members spoke on. Like restorativestice, making sure we have more counselors on school sites, it is clear from the research that having an SRO on campus does not improve school climate. Strongly supports redirecting thes dollars into investing in youth programs. We also have to acknowledge that services have been Wadtsred to make an amendment to the item, to end contract today if possible. President Ryan clarifies, Member Vang calling for a counter motionMember Vang continues, would like to call a counter motion to end the contract today.

possibility of a no cost extension through the end of the school year to allow our staff and SROs who w them time to transition. If the city supports us, supports our students I hope we can **worke** wity to see if that's a possibility given our financial condition.

Student Member Halbo agrees work done by SROs this year needs to be paid for. Doesn't think that an extension of a contract we have been trying to alter and there are many community concerns about, is the proper way to ensure the needs of our district while still acknowledging and respecting the clear community outcry that contract has brought forth. Wants to know that this contract will not be perpetually, supplemente althed. If a floater SRO is good enough for 71 school our district, then we should acknowledge that a patrol officers duty is still to serve the students. a termination of the contract does not mean we ban police officers from school campuses.

President Rvan when we had this come before the Board on a cause of the individuals in the room in support of the SRO contract. called for a deep dive to really address the data issues that were coming to the forefront around how we were looking atchedions whatwe're looking at in tens of disaggregated at a so that we could ensure there was not disproportionate impact for one student population over others. We absolutely have a path forward to alternatives. Very uncomfortable with ternainvational of a contract without a plan. Wants to propose to the community and students, with a decision to have a hard stop June 30 to carry us out through the school year, asks community to be partners in developing a comprehensive, student informed, whole child wellness policy for what thatshould look like moving forward. We hear and honor the idea that we have to have a plan and we have to ensure that we are thoughtfully ending the school year while recognizing that there is a call from our students, our civil rights partners, from our community to develop a plan that encompasses their experiences, the best practices and to good thinking of partners like ACLU and others. Invites them to the table to be part of that term, hopes that we can take some guidelines to close out the school year. Hoping the wonderful community that has turn out, will be part of these conversations moving forward but recognizing that we have to have a comprehensive plan. The next four months will be a good opportunity for us to close out this work determine what our spending, what our structure and what our commitment to a whole wrap around student support should look like in the context of a broader student safety plan for next calendar year and beyond. Board is going to take a vote. There are t

2nd Vice President Minnick motion to appro2^{ed} Member Pritchett Board Unanimous

9.5 Approve Resolution No. 3055: To Determine Criteria for Deviation from Eliminating a Action Certificated Employee in Order of Seniority ("Skipping" Criteria) (Cancy McArn and Cindy Nguyen)

Cancy McArn, Chief Human Resources Officer and Cindy Nguyen, Director, Employee Relations prese this item for approval.

Public Comment:

None

Board Comment:

None

Member Pritchett motion to approve 2nd Vice President Minnick[™] BoardUnanimous

Approve Resolution No. 3056: Notice of Layoff: Classified Employees – Reduction in Force Due to Lack of Funds and/or Lack of Work (Cancy McArn and Cindy Nguyen)

Action

Cancy McArn, Chief Human Resources Officer and Cindy Nguyen, Director, Employee Relations prese this item for approval.

Public Comment:

None

Board Comment:

13.0 ADJOURNMENT

Adjourn the meeting with support and thoughouts Vice President Woo and his family.

2nd Vice President Min**ic**k motion to adjourn Member Pritchett [™]Board Unanimous

Meeting adjourned att1:19 p.m.

Jorge A. Aguilar, Board Secretary/Superintendent

NOTE: The Sacramento City Unified School District encourages those with disabilities to participate fully in the public meeting process. If you need a disability-

```
! "#$%&'%($)*+,-$*.$/-01+23*4$"$\frac{8}{2}\psi 2\psi +\frac{8}{6}\psi +\tau 5\psi 2\psi +\frac{8}{6}\psi +\tau 5\psi 2\psi +\frac{8}{6}\psi +\tau 5\psi 2\psi +\frac{8}{6}\psi +\tau 5\psi 2\psi +\frac{8}{6}\psi 4\psi 8\psi 2\psi +\frac{8}{6}\psi +\tau 5\psi 2\psi +\frac{8}{6}\psi 4\psi 8\psi 2\psi +\frac{8}{6}\psi 4\psi 4\
```